UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1436
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,539	12/05/2003	Jason Charles Pelly	282557US8X 8289	
22850 7590 06/25/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HOANG, DANIEL L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	T	A				
\(\)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/728,539	PELLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel L. Hoang	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute; cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
·)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/5/03, 5/4/04, 8/6/04</u> .					
,-						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 7-15, 17-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 May 2004</u> is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/05/03, 8/06/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7-14, 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haitsma, US Patent No. 6,505,223.

As per claim 1, 11, 21-25, Haitsma teaches:

A data processing apparatus operable to identify at least one of a plurality of code words, forming a code word set, present in a marked version of a material item, the marked version having been formed by combining each of a plurality of parts of a code word with one of a plurality of units from which the material item is comprised, the apparatus comprising:

a recovery processor operable to recover at least one part of the code word from a corresponding unit of the marked material item, and

[see col. 3, lines 28-36]

a correlator operable to generate for the marked material unit a dependent correlation value for the part of the code word recovered from the material unit and the corresponding part of at least one of the re-

[see col. 3, lines 28-36]

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/728,539

Art Unit: 2136

a detector operable to determine whether at least one of the code words is present in the marked material

item from the dependent correlation value for the part of the code word exceeding a predetermined

threshold.

[see col. 3, lines 38-60]

As per claim 2, 12, Haitsma teaches:

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the detector is operable in combination with

the correlator to form a dependent correlation value for a plurality of parts of the recovered code word,

and if the correlation value exceeds the predetermined threshold for one of the dependent correlation

values, the detector is operable to identify the code word as present according to a predetermined false

detection probability.

[see col. 4, lines 46-61]

As per claim 3, 4, 13, 14, Haitsma teaches:

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 2, wherein the detector is operable in combination with

the correlator to form the dependent correlation values by combining the parts of the code word

recovered from successive material units, and by correlating the parts formed from successive material

units with corresponding part of the regenerated code word.

[see col. 4, lines 15-40]

As per claim 7, Haitsma teaches:

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the detector and the correlator are operable

in combination to form the dependent correlation value for at least one selected code word re-generated

from the set of code words, the code word being selected from the set in accordance with the relative

magnitudes of the dependent correlation value formed for each code word of the set.

[see col. 5, lines 13-45]

As per claim 8, Haitsma teaches:

Art Unit: 2136

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the plurality of code words are formed from a first code word having a plurality of predetermined pseudo-randomly distributed coefficients and by generating other code words of the set by cyclically shifting the first code word, and the correlation value is formed for a plurality of the code words by forming a Fourier transform of the recovered code word, forming a Fourier transform of the first code word of the set, forming the complex conjugate of one of the Fourier transform of the recovered code word and the Fourier transform of the regenerated code word, forming intermediate product samples by multiplying each of the Fourier transform samples of the recovered code word and the corresponding Fourier transform samples of the first code word, forming correlation samples by forming an inverse transform of the intermediate product samples, each of the correlation value samples providing the correlation value for one of the set of code words, wherein the forming a Fourier transform of the part of the recovered code word comprises setting the remaining part of the recovered code word to zero, and forming the Fourier transform of the recovered code word, and the forming a Fourier transform of the first code word of the set comprises setting the remaining part of the first code word to zero, and forming the Fourier transform of the first code word.

[see col. 3, lines 19-27 and col. 4, lines 15-45]

As per claim 9, Haitsma teaches:

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the code word has been introduced into the material item in the discrete cosine transform domain, the apparatus comprising a discrete cosine transform processor operable to transform the marked material item and the original material item into the discrete cosine transform domain, wherein the recovery processor is operable to generate the recovered code word by subtracting corresponding discrete cosine transform coefficients of the original material version from discrete cosine transform coefficients of the marked material version.

[see col. 5, lines 13-33]

As per claim 10, Haitsma teaches:

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/728,539

Art Unit: 2136

A data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the material is video material, the material

units being video images.

[see col. 2, lines 30-35]

As per claim 17, Haitsma teaches:

An encoding data processing apparatus operable to form a marked version of a material item by

combining each version of a material item with one or more of a plurality of code words from a code word

set, each of a plurality of parts of the code word being combined with one of a plurality of units from which

the material item is comprised.

[see col. 2, lines 37-67]

As per claim 18, 20, Haitsma teaches:

An encoding data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 17, wherein the plurality of code words are

formed from a first code word having a plurality of predetermined pseudo-randomly distributed

coefficients and by generating other code words of the set by cyclically shifting the first code word.

[see col. 3, lines 10-26]

As per claim 19, Haitsma teaches:

An encoding data processing apparatus as claimed in claim 17, wherein successive parts of the code

word are combined respectively with successive units of the material item.

[see col. 3, lines 37-60]

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haitsma.

As per claims 5, 15:

Claim 5 claims that the code word is recovered from four successive units. As evident in applicant's disclosure, the number of code word coefficients corresponds to a number or samples of the original image or material. Applicant discloses that a different number of coefficients is possible, and is set in dependence upon a particular application. Therefore, examiner interprets that depending on the particular attributes of the material that is being compared, the number of units in which the code word is set at is determined. Therefore the code word can be recovered from any number of successive units depending on the particular application of the invention. Thus, examiner determines that it would have been obvious to recover the code word from four, or any other number of successive units. This is merely a matter of design choice.

CONCLUSION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2136

POINTS OF CONTACT

*. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel L. Hoang 6/18/07

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

6/19/07